

## Newick Parish Council

**Minutes** of the Meeting of Newick Parish Council held at 7.00 p.m. on Tuesday, 30<sup>th</sup> March 2021. This meeting was held virtually using Zoom software.

**Present:** Councillors C. Armitage, B.Hodge, D. Marchant, V. Marchant, A. Sippetts (appointed in meeting), M. Thew, B. Whitlock, T Whitlock, C. Wickens and K.Wrench

**In Attendance:** Emma Reece (Clerk)  
Member of Parliament Maria Caulfield  
Leigh Palmer (Head of Planning, Lewes District Council)  
11 members of the public  
Members of Councillor's families were in the same room as those participating in the meeting for brief periods

A recording was made of the meeting

The meeting commenced at 7.00pm

- 1. Apologies for Absence** – It was reported by Councillor Armitage that Brian Deacon has resigned with immediate effect to concentrate on his coaching qualification and basketball commitments. District Councillor Burman was unable to attend the meeting. Cllr. B and T Whitlock were not present at the start of the meeting and joined at 7.01pm.
- 2. Disclosure by Members of personal interest in matters on the agenda, the nature of any interest and whether the Member regards the interest as prejudicial under the terms of the Code of Conduct** – There were none
- 3. Exclusion of the Press and Public** – There was no reason to exclude the Press or members of the public from any part of the meeting.
- 4. Questions or Statements by Members of the Public** – There were none
- 5. Co-option of up to one new councillor (if candidates have put themselves forward)** – Mr Alan Sippetts put himself forward as a candidate to fill the vacancy of Parish Councillor. Cllr. Wickens proposed and Cllr. D Marchant seconded. The Council voted unanimously to co-opt him and he signed a declaration of acceptance of office in front of the Clerk and Councillors.
- 6. To agree author and content of monthly Social Media update** – It was agreed that Cllr. Hodge would write the update for this month.
- 7. Report from Lewes District Councillor Roy Burman** – District Councillor Roy Burman was not present.
- 8. Report from Member of Parliament Maria Caulfield** – Maria Caulfield gave an update on virtual Parish Council meetings and stated that ministers are looking at whether hybrid or virtual meetings could be made permanent as they are easier for members of the public to join. She also asked for pothole and road repair feedback. She stated that the biggest issue is planning and lots of housing applications. The protection the Local Plan gave will expire in May and in the interval between expiry and renewal there may be developer targeted applications. Maria met with MHCLG to see what protection could be made. One option is to use Local Plan Part 2 as evidence of a 5 year land supply. She reassured the Council that she was working as hard as possible to assist.

Cllr. Hodge asked about having to review the Neighbourhood Plan more frequently. Maria Caulfield replied that renewing a plan is as simple as keeping up it up to date to show to the Planning Inspector that it is a live piece of work. If not up to date then developers might say some sites not delivered so will apply for permission on other sites.

Cllr. Armitage asked for clarification as where to report potholes. Maria Caulfield stated she can feed them into East Sussex Highways and chase them up.

Cllr. Wickens stated she was confused on desegregation figure and asked does it include South Downs National Park? Maria Caulfield said Lewes District Council can put in rationale as to why it can't meet number due to coast and downs which cannot be built in and that that debate needs to happen again. Cllr. Wickens asked if there was any chance of an extension on the 5 year expiry? Maria Caulfield replied that mitigating circumstances mean that they can try to use the Local Plan Part 2 as evidence of the 5 year land supply until the new Plan is ready.

9. **Approval of draft minutes of the Parish Council meeting held 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2021** – It was agreed that the draft minutes of the Parish Council meeting held 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2021 could be signed as a true record.

**10. Planning:**

- 10.1 Approval of draft minutes of Planning Committee meeting held 9th March 2021 - It was agreed that the draft minutes of the Parish Council meeting held 9<sup>th</sup> March 2021 could be signed as a true record.

10.2 Consideration of Planning Applications: (if any)

LW/21/0035	<p><b>Forest Lodge, Mill Lane, Fletching</b> Construction of a new double bay garage, with an office space above and associated access change</p> <p><i>It was unanimously agreed to make <b>no comment</b>.</i></p>
LW/21/0058	<p><b>11 Cricketfield</b> Proposed single storey porch to the front elevation</p> <p><i>It was unanimously agreed to make <b>no comment</b>.</i></p>
LW/21/0064	<p><b>24 Western Road</b> Proposed first floor extension to side and rear of property and internal Alterations</p> <p>Cllr. Wickens stated there are no windows in the entrance lobby as they will building on top of the existing velux.</p> <p>Cllr. Hodge stated that the existing door is glass and provided good light and if they keep the same style of door then light would not be an issue.</p> <p><i>It was unanimously agreed to make <b>no comment</b>.</i></p>
LW/21/0118 & LW/21/0119	<p><b>6 Church Road</b> Variation of Condition 1 (plans) to include garage refurbishment and carport replacement, relating to Planning Permission LW/19/0121 Variation of Condition 1 (plans) to include garage refurbishment and carport replacement, relating to Listed Building Consent LW/19/0122</p> <p>Cllr. Wickens shared concerns about a roll up door in a conservation area and whether it is in keeping. Cllr. Armitage and Cllr. T Whitlock agreed.</p> <p><i>It was unanimously agreed to <b>object</b> due to the garage door being out of keeping in a conservation area.</i></p>
LW/21/0135/CD & LW/21/0189/CD	<p><b>Springfield The Green Newick</b> Discharge Of Conditions Application - Discharge of conditions 4 (materials) and 5 (landscaping) in relation to planning approval LW/20/0164 for Mr and Mrs Hendin Discharge Of Conditions Application - Discharge of conditions 4 (materials) and 5 (landscaping) in relation to Listed Building Consent LW/20/0125</p> <p><i>It was unanimously agreed to make <b>no comment</b>.</i></p>

- 10.3 Approvals/Refusals etc. – It was noted that Lewes District Council had granted permission for the following application:

LW/21/0011 3 Bullsfield Cottages The Green Proposed timber frame Sussex Style detached workshop/carport

- 10.4 Tree Works Applications –There were none

- 10.5 To agree planning resource rota for May and June. Cllr. Armitage is covering April and offered to cover May too. Cllr. Thew agreed to cover June.

- 10.6 Update on Woods Fruit Farm application – there was none

- 10.7 To note appeal dismissal for 55 Allington Road – this was noted and it was stated that the report was excellent as it confirmed that land to the rear of Allington Road is not suitable for development.

- 10.8 Leigh Palmer (Head of Planning, Lewes District Council) response to questions from Newick residents and Parish Council

Resident Vickie Mills - I am looking to start a dog grooming business - nothing big a couple of dogs a day In an existing shed, that hasn't all been converted to a salon. Why is it that other businesses that are run from a shed do not need planning -i.e hairdressers, counsellors, massages, but I have been told I need planning as change of use to a commercial business? Also I first emailed LDC in Sept and finally got a response in Jan that said I needed pre planning - so I applied for this and got told I should have a response by 19th Feb - it's 18th March still no response - in the current climate I thought that starting up a business would be supported and helped along not delayed. Most clients are local so would walk here or if not I have parking and I have brought a hydro bath which recycles water. This is very frustrating and stressful.

Leigh Palmer answered - The time taken to respond is not where LDC want to be and apologised. He said it comes down to when does a hobby/pastime change into a business venture? I take it that has already been explored and that the resident had been advised they would need to apply for permission. He requested address of property and it was agreed the Clerk would send.

Resident Robert Hutton - For over a decade the skate park on George V Playing Fields has created much controversy in terms of the 'out of date' design & construction, proximity to residential homes, disturbance and constant noise from the scooters and other equipment used when hitting the ramps, the resulting complete lack of privacy to the homes bordering the skate park and the antisocial behaviour that residents have had to deal with. Will the Council please confirm that there will be full collaboration with the community to review the potential relocation and build of a new skate park to improve the user experience and the situation of the community as a whole, and also invite the LDC Head of Planning to confirm that LDC are always guided by Skateboard England, Skateboard GB, Sport England and Fields in Trust's recommendations on the location of skateparks in the community at large?

Leigh Palmer answered - we are all painfully aware of the differences between the aspirations of those who want to use and those that surround these facilities. He stated LDC do take on board all the guidance. Chris Bibb (LDC) has delivered several skate projects across the district. Projects need to engage with users and the community to understand the most appropriate location, and this should happen in tandem.

Cllr. Thew stated that she has been working on this and the skate park is coming to the end of its useful life. She confirmed that lots of research has started and will continue. The playing fields are only a certain size and the Parish Council will be exploring all options. Public consultation will be done as soon as able from a COVID perspective. Full advice and compliance with regulations will happen.

NPC – we are very concerned that planning meetings after the 7th of May this year cannot be held virtually which could mean that decisions are made where we will have no opportunity to represent the Parish. Our meeting venue is not large enough to socially distance in and we have an obligation not to limit members of the general public. What plans has LDC for this situation?

It was agreed that this question was answered by Maria Caulfield during her report.

The following questions all concern the current housing situation within the District with questions from residents being listed first.

Resident Tony Turk - I anticipate that the District Council will soon be saying that, subject to formulas for providing more infrastructure, Newick will be able to accommodate yet more houses beyond the Neighbourhood Plan figure. Using such a contrived approach, trying to 'prove' sustainability, is accepting that our village can continually expand and expand. Newick Parish Council has said to you in December 2020... 'we consider that Newick is already at full capacity if we are to remain a village'. So, at what point will you say that Newick is no longer a village and has become just an urban sprawl ... when will it lose its identity as a village in the countryside?

Leigh Palmer answered – It is the issue LDC are wrestling with. Where LDC is asked to look at a higher housing figure there will be challenges around location of growth. Land Availability Assessment (LAA) will feed into issues and options which will be sent out at the end of the summer for consultation. This will not include site specific but will be a broader approach on housing growth. Mr Palmer said he takes the point that Newick is characterful, within the landscape setting and this is held in high regard by both Parishioners and the District Council. LDC will apply a consistent approach when evaluating site locations.

Resident Paddy Cumberlege - Why has LDC refused help to expedite a local plan? What are the implications for Newick?

Leigh Palmer answered– At no time have LDC refused help. He stated the Member of Parliament is pursuing one avenue - Local Plan Part 2 (which is well in date) (Maria Caulfield stated earlier in the meeting that she met with MHCLG to see what protection could be made. One option is to use Local Plan Part 2 as evidence of a 5 year land supply. She reassured the Council that she was working as hard as possible to assist). LDC have taken advice from the Planning Advisory service about local plan production. They confirmed that August 2023 is an appropriate delivery date.

Resident John Lucas - LDC's Land Capacity Study (LCS) 2012 found that the area designated 'Rear of Allington Road' (area C02) has 'substantial' landscape sensitivity, whereas the area designated 'North of Blind Lane' (area C01) has only 'moderate' landscape sensitivity. Rather inconsistently, however, the same document states that both of these areas are 'preferred areas for development' around Newick from a landscape perspective.

The sustainability appraisals carried out for Newick's Neighbourhood Plan (NNP), together with a survey of local residents' opinions, supported development of sites in area C01 but not those in area C02; no less than five sites in area C02 were rejected when determining which of the twelve sites considered should be developed. Since then, there have been three sites in area C02 that have had planning appeals rejected by planning inspectors (with one confirmed by the Secretary of State), 45 Allington Road, 55 Allington Road and Mitchelswood Farm; in all three cases the value of the landscape was a major factor. Thus, both the work undertaken for the NNP, and the views of the planning inspectorate, indicate that LCS was correct to conclude that area C02 has substantial landscape sensitivity, but wrong to conclude that it was a preferred area for development. Will LDC now review this aspect of the Land Capacity Study?

Leigh Palmer answered – this does raise an important issue. The original study was in 2012 and he is aware of recent appeal decisions. LDC have to have regard to those when looking at call for sites but have to evaluate the merits of new proposals. Recent decisions have gone one way, and LDC have to take that into the account.

Resident Sofia Connelly - I would be interested if the Parish Council could ask if any additional housing for Newick would come hand in hand with guaranteed, proper long-term planning for Education and Health services here .... i.e. increasing capacity at all levels of education and services. I think this needs to be a condition of Newick growing. Also a sustainable traffic/parking/environmental impact plan.

Leigh Palmer answered – part of the plan making process is engaging with statutory providers – e.g. schools and health. To understand what infrastructure is needed to meet growth demand. So in summary, yes this will be taken into account.

NPC - We are concerned to see that the Settlement Hierarchy which we have strongly criticised is still being used as a major point of reference for the interim planning policy. It was being used as part of the core strategy in 2011 so is well over 10 years old and was incorrect even then in a number of places. Since then many things have changed including the focus on sustainability with climate change at the forefront. Surely a much stronger weighting for example should go to criteria which demonstrates good public transport, cycle lanes etc? Does LDC have any plans to update this incorrect document?

Leigh Palmer answered – Yes as part of the local plan making process we will have to scope the appropriateness of the document. Plumpton and East Chiltonton have asked the same. I will take that away and the team will update the position as it moves forward.

NPC - There is no explanation of the disaggregation of South Downs National Park (SDNP) and LDC for the projected housing figures which we were asked to comment on in the consultation for the interim planning policy. What is the real annual figure?

Leigh Palmer answered –. Normally the park would be delivering 70 units per year so take 70 off would be amount due to deliver. If the park underdeliver, then LDC would have to make up the shortfall in the whole district.

NPC - We submitted our response to the LAA sites and draft methodology on Friday March 12th as requested but on Monday 15th March we received the long anticipated third Inspectors report in under a year which cites destroying the landscape and character to the rear of Allington Road as a major reason for dismissing the application and denying planning permission. Two questions. Firstly, may we update

our LAA response with this significant news? Secondly given the question by a resident on the same issue will you be advising your planners that the land to the rear of Allington Road is not suitable for development?

Leigh Palmer answered - I am happy to receive an updated statement. Second question has to be no until LDC have concluded the process. LDC cannot say now that Allington Road is a no but very mindful of timeliness of appeal decisions and will be making evaluations through that process.

The Chair invited Mr Cumberlege to speak and he stated that in 2007 there was an exercise of redrawing the conservation area but nothing has been heard since. After 14 years could LDC do something about it?

Leigh Palmer answered – the key themes in white paper is protection zones. We need to safeguard protected zones and need to update ourselves with whether boundary is still appropriate, for example do buildings need to be included? Might there be boundary changes? This needs to be considered in the process.

Cllr. Hodge spoke and asked about specifying the type of properties in Local and Neighbourhood Plans for example, there are an awful lot of people who live in Newick who would like to downsize which would release larger properties. He asked is there anything that can be done? Maria Caulfield replied and said that Neighbourhood Plans include types of property as a preference and Newick's plan does touch on that. When Newick refresh their plan, they can change style of housing whilst still keeping same target.

Leigh Palmer added that LDC have controls on housing mix in allocations and that they engage meaningfully with developers about housing mix. He stated it was critical to strike balance on appropriate sized units in the right locations

Cllr. Armitage asked a question about the Interim Draft policy, he stated that when the policy was considered at cabinet, the point about consideration of sites if adjacent to the planning boundary was still included despite feedback from Newick Parish Council. Leigh Palmer responded that if an application is within the planning boundary should be a positive for that application. It is likely that after May that speculative applications will be made for sites outside of the boundary. There will be cases where landscape harm is not as significant as others, then LDC will probably have to look at those schemes more favourably. LDC has not had applications of this nature but he anticipates it is likely that they will between May 2021 and the adoption of new plan.

Cllr. Wickens asked why won't Leigh Palmer be advising LDC planners that the areas already refused at appeal are not suitable for development? Leigh Palmer replied because the process has to run its course and we might end up with the outcome we would all like it but LDC can't go ahead of the process. Cllr. Wickens said she was very pleased Parish Councils were included in the consultation and that they were allowed to join in, advise and consult. Will NPC be allowed to comment on the settlement hierarchy and the Land Capacity Study? Leigh Palmer replied of course, but what he would say is that if there are any perceived areas of weakness, let him know and that can that be fed back to the team. Cllr. Wickens asked if he wanted that sooner rather than later? Leigh replied - yes please.

Cllr. Thew said she finds it incredibly frustrating that developers with deep pockets can apply for planning, appeal, and then keep on going until they get planning permission. Leigh Palmer replied that if one of those three Newick appeals came through with a new application which was the same as the already refused application then LDC could decline to determine. However, if there was a significant material change (housing number, access etc) then LDC duty bound to reconsider.

- 10.9** Report from Leigh Palmer (Head of Planning, Lewes District Council) – Mr Palmer stated that he is always pleased when he is invited to Parish Council meetings and he was pleased to see public and council are so involved in planning. He said if sites are proposed then Lewes District Council need to hear from the community so they can deliver a plan everyone is happy with.
- 10.10** To note letter to Chief Executive of Lewes District Council from Cllr. Hodge – it was noted that this letter was not completed as it was superseded by the letter sent in item 10.12
- 10.11** To note letter from Maria Caulfield regarding planning issues – this was noted
- 10.12** To note letter to Lewes District Council cabinet from Cllr. Armitage – this was noted
- 10.13** Lewes District Association of Local Councils – Cllr. Armitage reported that in the LDALC meeting 8<sup>th</sup> March there was a quote for legal advice discussed. This quote was for advice on challenging the acceptance of building outside and alongside the planning boundary. The

quote for legal advice exceeded £5000 so felt at the LDALC meeting that the cost would fall on small number of councils to share cost. Next meeting of LDALC will discuss this and an alternative to consult with Flo Churchill.

Cllr. Wickens brought the planning section of the meeting to a close and thanked everyone for their input and attendance.

At 8.19pm Leigh Palmer left the meeting

At 8.20pm Maria Caulfield left the meeting

At 8.21pm 3 members of the public left the meeting

#### 11. Clerk's Report –

To note report from Clerk including correspondence received in February/March 2021 – The correspondence report was circulated and noted. The Clerks report included;

- Rent from the Scouts is still overdue
- Speeding concerns from residents
- Food fair and memorial orchard
- Website administration
- Time owed off in lieu being taken
- Audit
- SSALC

Cllr. V Marchant stated that she has asked for the new address of the Scout's treasurer.

#### 12. Report by Clerk on any action taken under Delegated Powers in consultation with Chairman and/or Vice-Chairman. – There were none

#### 13. Financial Matters:

- 13.1 To authorise Schedule of Payments for March 2021 and arrangements for approving payments  
The following payments were reported to the Council;

Time: 10:00

#### Unity Trust Bank

#### List of Payments made between 01/03/2021 and 31/03/2021

<u>Date Paid</u>	<u>Payee Name</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Amount Paid</u>	<u>Authorized Ref</u>	<u>Transaction Detail</u>
31/03/2021	CPRE	40917904	36.00		membership
31/03/2021	Hitachi Capital Invoice Financ	802142866	2,726.16		Fingerpost replacement
31/03/2021	Castle Water	246463578	198.73		Water sports pavilion
31/03/2021	Telefonica UK Ltd	DD	16.63		Monthly phone bill
31/03/2021	East Sussex Pension Fund	817445065	488.51		Pension Contributions
31/03/2021	Mrs E Reece	852214848	1,418.37		Clerk Salary
31/03/2021	HM Revenue & Customs	212995318	346.40		Tax and NI
31/03/2021	Roger Malvern	513512204	120.00		Website admin Oct 20 - Mar 21
31/03/2021	Satswana Ltd	509282374	180.00		DPO service
31/03/2021	Community Speedwatch Online	179746438	549.17		Community Speedwatch Online
31/03/2021	Surrey Hills Solicitors	219646531	132.00		Sports Pavilion Lease
31/03/2021	M&M Services	764795485	177.60		Fencing KGVPF
31/03/2021	Barclays Debit Card	Top up	59.37		Top up
31/03/2021	Peter Howes	761224784	367.50		Village handyman
31/03/2021	Lewes District Council	15173565	18.00		Play inspections
31/03/2021	Treewise Tree Services	87018839	72.00		Branch removal oak tree
31/03/2021	Treewise Tree Services	480107030	180.00		Tree 357 as report recommended
31/03/2021	Lewes District Council	827577183	108.58		Bin empty for quarter AprJune
<b>Total Payments</b>			7,195.02		

Note: Speedwatch Gun has already been authorised and sent as agreed in February PC meeting.

It was agreed that Cllr. Armitage and Cllr. Thew would authorise these payments.

The following payments have already been made and were reported to the Council;

Time: 10:00

**Barclays Debit Card**

**List of Payments made between 01/03/2021 and 31/03/2021**

<u>Date Paid</u>	<u>Payee Name</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Amount Paid</u>	<u>Authorized Ref</u>	<u>Transaction Detail</u>
31/03/2021	Viking	DC	44.98		Refuse sacks and paper
31/03/2021	Zoom Video Communications	DC	14.39		Monthly Zoom Subscription
<b>Total Payments</b>			<u>59.37</u>		

- 13.2 To receive statement of performance against budget as at end February 2021 - this was received and noted.
- 13.3 To note receipt of £500 rent for Cornwells Bank Allotments from Newick Allotment Society – this was noted
- 13.4 To note statement of account for Public Sector Deposit Fund as at 28 February 2021- this was noted
- 13.5 To consider grant applications received (S. 137 LGA 1972) – there were none to consider
- 13.6 To consider quotes (if received) including small area of fencing at KGVPF and quote of £200 from Newick Window Cleaning to clean MUGA and flooring at KGVPF. It was agreed to proceed with the cleaning of the basketball hoop area at a cost of £200 by Newick Window Cleaning and additional fencing at KGVPF by Matt Coppard at a cost of £185.

**14. Environment & Recreation;**

- 14.1 Skate Ramp – report from Cllr. Thew – Cllr. Thew wrote to local residents regarding the Skate Park and received email of concern from one resident. She then spoke to the concerned residents on the phone. Cllr. Thew stated that LDC is opening CIL window later this year and that she has contacted Ed Hele as he was previously involved in the history of the ramp. Ed Hele replied to say he is leaving LDC and gave name of replacement - Jo Dunk. Cllr. Thew reiterated that no decisions made without full consultation.

Cllr. D Marchant left the meeting at 8.29pm due to poor internet connection.

- 14.2 Memorial Orchard Reedens Meadow – Alex Harrison was due to join the meeting as has idea for memorial orchard. Cllr. Thew met Alex Harrison and Chris Bibb at Reedens Meadow. It is a new project and will be a cross organisational project. Cllr. Thew hoped Alex Harrison will come to next meeting and present. Cllr V. Marchant stated that the allotment at Cornwalls Bank has an orchard and looked in the past at whether can graft from those trees.
- 14.3 Newick Sports Pavilion Charitable Trust – report from Cllr. Thew and update on progress on lease for existing building. It was reported that the lease has been considered by the Pavilion Charitable Trust and NPC's solicitor is working on some queries from them.
- 14.4 To agree action on the abandoned car in the Community Centre Car Park – Cllr. Thew has spoken to some local contacts regarding alternative locations and hopes to have an update.
- 14.5 To agree which flags will be flown on the Green during the Summer season in line with NPC flag policy section 1 – It was agreed to use the existing set it as these were only used briefly last year.

Two members of the public left at 8.36pm

- 14.6 To consider request for the use of The Green from John Lawson Circus Monday 24th to Wednesday 26th May 2021 – Cllr. Hodge stated that he didn't feel it's appropriate regardless to the rules. Cllr. Armitage agreed and said it felt like it was too soon. Cllr. T Whitlock felt the Council should play it by ear then make the decisions and agreed that May was too soon. It was therefore agreed to refuse the request for now.

**14.7** To consider request for the use of The Green from Shayler Fun Fair Monday 9th to 16th of August 2021 opening on the 13th to 15th of August 2021 – it was agreed not to make a decision now and to reconsider nearer the time. Cllr. Sippetts stated that given the way the roadmap pans out, by early August the conditions may be that where permission could be given. It was agreed to delay the decision until June and to then reconsider with a view to where we are on roadmap.

**14.8** To consider the purchase of litter picking equipment and application to grant schemes for funding – it was confirmed that several volunteers have been litter picking and it has suggested that have a Parish Council set for litter picking days. It was agreed to put together a costing for future consideration to include hi-vis jackets.

**15. Newick Village Hall Management Committee** – Report from Cllr. B Whitlock gave summary of when hiring will be available and refurbishment (end of July/August).

**16. To consider arrangements for Annual Parish Meeting in 2021** – It was agreed that it was too soon to plan a large gathering.

**17. To consider memorial for Walter Lacey** – Cllr. T Whitlock stated a lasting memorial to Walter Lacey had been requested by some residents. Cllr. V Marchant agreed with the idea of a memorial. Benches and trees were discussed. Cllr. Wickens suggested a road name when planning new developments. It was agreed that the Council supported a memorial in principle.

**18. To discuss continued approach to COVID-19 outbreak** – not being able to hold virtual council meetings from 7<sup>th</sup> May due to the expiry of legislation was discussed. It was agreed to use the Church if possible and that the Clerk would discuss using the Church further with Rev. Mundy and the Church Wardens.

**19. Items for next agenda**

- Memorial Orchard
- Anti-social behaviour behind 45 Allington Road and Mitchelswood Farmhouse and invite PCSO
- Neighbourhood watch

The social media content was reviewed.

*The meeting closed at 9.23pm*

Signed.....Chairman

Date.....

Mrs E Reece, Clerk to the Council  
[newickpc@newick.net](mailto:newickpc@newick.net) – 07521 511643